

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,111	01/17/2002	Kevin E. Brehmer	M-12279 US	1813 .
36257	7590 10/19/2005	EXAMINER		
PARSONS 595 MARKE	HSUE & DE RUNTZ T STREET	QUIETT, CA	QUIETT, CARRAMAH J	
SUITE 1900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			2612	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/053,111	BREHMER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carramah J. Quiett	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Se	eptember 2005.					
·= .						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	³ O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,10-12,24 and 25</u> is/are pending	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,10-12,24 and 25</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,8,9,13-23 and 26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,10-12,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Oπice action for a list of	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Ποτίτε οτ Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Πηθοιπατίου Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/23/2002. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of the first species (claims 1-5, 7, 10-18, 24-25) in the reply filed on 9/13/2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Although the Applicant elected the first species, claims 13-18 are not apart of the first species. According to the Applicant's drawings (figs. 9A-9D) and specification (page 8, pgph 35), claims 13-18 belong to the fourth species, for these claims are drawn to a threshold passed signal. As a result, only claims 1-5, 7, 10-12, and 24-25 (first species, figs. 1-5 and 8) will be examined in this particular Office Action. Claims 6, 8-9, 13-23, and 26, which are not apart of the first species, are withdrawn from consideration.

The Applicant is reminded that upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 2. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 2612

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fossum et al. (#5,841,126).

As for claim 1, Fossum discloses a method for image sensing (second embodiment) comprising the acts of:

producing, from a photo detector, a plurality of detected electronic signals responsive to an optical image (col. 7, lines 44-64).

amplifying, with a column buffer amplifier, signals selected from the detected electronic signals to produce a plurality of amplified signals (col. 7, lines 44-53);

sampling, with a correlated double sampler, signals selected from the amplified signals to produce a plurality of sampled signals (col. 8, lines 27-38); and

clamping, by a clamp circuit, at least one signal selected from the detected electronic signals and the sampled signals in response to a detecting of at least one over-saturation condition; whereby image inversion is at least partially abated (col. 8, lines 9-18).

For claim 2, Fossum discloses the method wherein the photo detector comprises a photo diode (col. 7, lines 44-64).

For **claim 3**, Fossum discloses the method wherein the photo detector comprises a photo gate (col. 7, lines 44-64).

As for **claim 5**, Fossum discloses a method for enhancing a video image comprising the acts of:

sampling a plurality of image signals with a correlated double sampler to produce a plurality of sampled signals (col. 8, lines 27-38);

Application/Control Number: 10/053,111 Page 4

Art Unit: 2612

clamping, with a clamp circuit, signals selected from the image signals (col. 7, lines 44-53) and the sampled signals during a reset phase of the correlated double sampler (col. 8, lines 27-38).

For **claim 7**, Fossum discloses the method wherein the clamp circuit operates in conjunction with a column buffer amplifier comprising a source follower (col. 8, lines 27-38).

As for claim 10, Fossum discloses a circuit (fig. 8) comprising: an image sensor array (pixel array) comprising:

a clamp circuit (col. 7, lines 44-64); column buffer amplifier (col. 7, lines 44-64); and a correlated double sampling circuit (col. 8, lines 27-38).

4. Claims 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsang et al. (#5,900,623).

For claim 24, Tsang teaches that in an image sensor that correlates a first sample of a first signal during a first interval after reset of a photo detector and a second sample of the first signal during a later interval to produce a luminance signal, a method for abating an error (blooming col. 10, lines 11-24) in the luminance signal due to excessively rapid slewing of the first signal during the first interval wherein the improvement (col. 13, lines 43-54) comprises:

detecting that the first signal is slewing excessively rapidly during the first interval (col.7, lines 28-67); and

limiting the value of the first sample; whereby the image sensor produces an output of improved accuracy (col. 10, lines 11-24).

Application/Control Number: 10/053,111

Art Unit: 2612

25

For claim **24**, Tsang teaches the method wherein: the error (blooming) is an image inversion due to over-saturation (col. 10, lines 11-24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fossum et al. (#5,841,126) in view of Koyama et al. (#5,786,713).

For **claim 4**, Fossum teaches the method with a clamp circuit (col. 7, lines 44-53). However, Fossum does not expressly teach the method wherein the clamp circuit is implemented in a technology selected from a list consisting of N-well CMOS process technology and of P-well CMOS process technology. In a similar field of endeavor, Koyama teaches a method wherein the clamp circuit is implemented in a technology selected from a list consisting of N-well CMOS process technology and of P-well CMOS process technology (fig. 37; col. 20, lines 41-47). In light of the teaching of Koyama, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement Fossum's clamp circuit in a technology selected from a list consisting of N-well CMOS process technology and of P-well

Application/Control Number: 10/053,111

Art Unit: 2612

CMOS process technology in order to control the integration the imaging device (Koyama, col. 20, lines 41-62).

Page 6

7. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fossum et al. (#5,841,126) in view of Yano (#6,900,832).

For claim 11, Fossum discloses the circuit wherein the imaging device has 3 modes with different integration periods (col. 7, lines 44-64). However, Fossum does not expressly disclose the circuit wherein the image sensor array captures still images. In a similar field of endeavor, Yano discloses the circuit wherein the image sensor array captures still images (fig. 4, col. 5, lines 50-51). In light of the teaching of Yano, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the imaging device of Fossum with an image sensor array that captures still images in order to improve the quality of imaging for the different modes (Yano, col. 2, lines 16-50).

For claim 12, Fossum discloses the circuit wherein the imaging device has 3 modes with different integration periods (col. 7, lines 44-64). However, Fossum does not expressly disclose the circuit wherein the image sensor array captures moving video images. In a similar field of endeavor, Yano discloses the circuit wherein the image sensor array captures moving video images (Yano, col. 6, lines 25-26). In light of the teaching of Yano, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the imaging device of Fossum with an image sensor array that captures moving video images in order to improve the quality of imaging for the different modes (Yano, col. 2, lines 16-50).

Application/Control Number: 10/053,111 Page 7

Art Unit: 2612

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Washkurak et al. (6,704,050)

An imaging device comprising active pixels

Kobayashi (6157,407)

A clamped CCD imager.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carramah J. Quiett whose telephone number is (571) 272-7316. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NgocYen Vu can be reached on (571) 272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CJQ

October 17, 2005

NGOC-YEN VU